

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upub.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,730	10/18/2007	Kiyoshi Uchikawa	129041	3983
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 03/22/201 PRIDGE PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX \$20850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			PERSAUD, DEORAM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2882	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/22/2011	ELECTRONIC

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction25944@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/588,730	UCHIKAWA, KIYOSHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DEORAM PERSAUD	2882	

Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.130(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after Six (6) MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.				
• If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or standard period for reply will, by state, cause the application to become ARAMONDED (38 U.S.C. § 138). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned parter them adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.74(b)(b).				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 November 2010</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-13 and 16-52 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.2.8-13 and 19-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7,16-18 and 31-51</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some "c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colone of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c  None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colone of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c  None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				

1)	Notice

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<ol> <li>Interview Summary (PTO-413)</li> </ol>
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/fi/all Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SR/08)	<ol> <li>Notice of Informal Patent Application</li> </ol>

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 2882

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

 This application contains claims 1, 2, 8-13 and 19-30 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 05/07/2010. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Application/Control Number: 10/588,730 Page 3

Art Unit: 2882

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject

matter which applicant regards as the invention.

5. Claim 52 provides for the use of the exposure apparatus of claim 16, where the

claim recites "A device production method including a lithography process, wherein a

pattern is transferred onto a photosensitive element in the lithography process using the

exposure apparatus as recited in claim 16", but, since the claim does not set forth any

steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is

intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any

active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced. See MPEP  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ 

2173.05(q).

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-7, 16-18 and 31-51 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,730

Art Unit: 2882

 The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to claims 5 and 16, the prior art, including, Mori et al. and Schuster et al., neither alone or in combination, explicitly teaches an exposure method/apparatus requiring "a polarization state control mechanism which changes a polarization state of the light beam which has passed through the optical guide into a linearly-polarized state, and then into a predetermined polarized state," in the combination required by the claims.

Claims 6, 7, 17, 18 and 31-51 are allowable by virtue of their dependency on claims 5 and 16.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/588,730

Art Unit: 2882

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEORAM PERSAUD whose telephone number is (571)270-5476. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5) EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Glick can be reached on 571-272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. P./

Examiner, Art Unit 2882

/Edward J Glick/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2882